

AD-A277 555**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

ed to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and action of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including ters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA work Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

2. REPORT DATE

March 1994

3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED

Professional Paper

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE**A DISCREPANCY IN THE CCIR REPORT 322-3 RADIO NOISE MODEL—A RECOMMENDED SOLUTION****6. AUTHOR(S)**

D. B. Sailors

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

PR: CM20

WU: DN303046

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center (NCCOSC)
RDT&E Division
San Diego, CA 92152-5001

94-09666**9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)**

Space/Naval Warfare System Command
Washington, DC 20363-5100

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES**12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE**13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)**

Sailors (NCCOSC TD 2496, May 1993; Ionospheric Effects Symposium, May 4-6, 1993) reported the probable cause of a discrepancy in the CCIR Report 322-3 radio noise model. The basis for this discrepancy resulted from the procedure used to prepare the measured noise data for the determination of a global numerical representation of the 1 MHz data. In the development of the model, correction factors were determined for each measurement site. These correction factors were interpolated to 100-latitude by 84-longitude grid for each time block/season. The correction factors at each grid point were added to corresponding values for the old CCIR model, and finally the resulting data for each time block and season were numerically mapped.

DTIC
ELECTE
MAR 30 1994
S B D

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5Published in *Proceedings of the National Radio Science Meeting*, January 1994.**14. SUBJECT TERMS**

decision support
communication coverage prediction

15. NUMBER OF PAGES**16. PRICE CODE****17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT**

UNCLASSIFIED

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT

UNCLASSIFIED

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

SAME AS REPORT

94 3 29 073

UNCLASSIFIED

21a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL

D. B. Sailors

21b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code)

(619) 553-3063

21c. OFFICE SYMBOL

Code 542

Accession For

NTIS GRA&I ☒DTIC TAB ☐Unannounced ☐

Justification

By

Distribution

Availability Codes

Dist

Avail and/or
Special

A-1

20

**A DISCREPANCY IN THE CCIR REPORT 322-3 RADIO NOISE MODEL
- A RECOMMENDED SOLUTION**

David B. Sailors

Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences Division

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Division

Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center

San Diego, CA 92152-5235

Sailors (NCCOSC TD 2496, May 1993; Ionospheric Effects Symposium, May 4-6, 1993) reported the probable cause of a discrepancy in the CCIR Report 322-3 radio noise model. The basis for this discrepancy resulted from the procedure used to prepare the measured noise data for the determination of a global numerical representation of the 1 MHz data. In the development of the model, correction factors were determined for each measurement site. These correction factors were interpolated to 100-latitude by 84-longitude grid for each time block/season. The correction factors at each grid point were added to corresponding values for the old CCIR model, and finally the resulting data for each time block and season were numerically mapped.

Nineteen locations were used in the final model. Four sites used in the original CCIR model were not used. As no corrections factors were obtained for these locations or a correction factor of zero used to maintain the status quo, the interpolation algorithm used to obtain the 100-latitude by 84-longitude grid of correction factors supplied other values. For Byrd Station, Antarctica; Ibadan, Nigeria; and Thule, Greenland, the error is at some seasons and time of day serious. Examination of the geographical extent of these errors reveals that the error is not confined to the measurement location but in fact is very large. It was found that the error as a function of frequency is diurnally dependent. The absence of the data locations also affected the accuracy of the interpolation itself.

A recommended course of action to overcome this discrepancy includes (1) obtain correction factors for additional locations to increase the accuracy of the interpolation; (2) test the method of interpolation against a suitable bench mark; (3) Use the Zacharisen and Jones (Institute for Telecomm. Sciences Res. Report 2, 1970) numerical mapping technique applied in local time to develop a new 1-MHz model; (4) consider using a latitude transformation to increase the accuracy of the numerical mapping technique; and (5) submit a corrected model to the CCIR. Additional data include that for the four original locations not utilized in development of CCIR Report 322-3, for four locations from the former Soviet Union (Simferopol, Sverdlovsk, Tbilisi, and Kiev), and for one additional site at Ping-Cheng, Taiwan, China. To use this data it is necessary to remove the effects of man-made noise. Three methods to accomplish this that can be used together or alone are described. Sailors (National Radio Science Meeting, 1983) showed that an improvement in the accuracy of the numerical map can be made by transforming the latitude coordinates so that there is a more uniform variation of atmospheric noise as a function of the modified latitude than there is for the actual latitude. When used with the local-time Zacharisen and Jones mapping technique, it can be expected to work even better in improving the atmospheric noise model than when universal time was used in the mapping technique.